UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,864	08/17/2006	Koichi Shinhama	01197.0281	2810
	7590 09/24/200 ENDERSON, FARAE	8 BOW, GARRETT & DUNNER	EXAMINER	
LLP			COPPINS, JANET L	
	RK AVENUE, NW N, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/589,864	SHINHAMA, KOICHI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JANET L. COPPINS	1626	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed  the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ The 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pre		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1.5 and 6 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2.4.7 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3 and 9 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the	rawn from consideration.  /or election requirement.  ner. ccepted or b) □ objected to by the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		•	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF IONIN PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. □ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. △ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/17/06.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/589,864 Page 2

Art Unit: 1626

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-9 are pending in the instant application.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

2. Applicants' Information Disclosure Statement (IDS), submitted August 17, 2006, has been considered by the Examiner. Please refer to the signed copy of Applicant's PTO-1449 form, submitted herewith.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 2, 5 and 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - a. Claims 2 and 4 recite the limitation "wherein an iodinating agent is..." when referring back to claim 1, however claim 1 fails to recite any such "iodinating agent[s]" and only mentions "iodinating a 4-nitoimidazole compound" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim since the language of claim 1 merely describes the process but does not specifically employ the use of iodinating agents. The Examiner recommends inserting after the language, "...wherein each of XI and X2 represents a chlorine atom or bromine atom," in line 9 of claim 1, the following phrase, "in a suitable solvent in the presence of an iodinating agent," as discussed in page 7 of the Specification, to clarify any confusion in the dependent claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,864 Page 3

Art Unit: 1626

b. Claims 7 and 8 recite the limitation "wherein the reducing agent is..." when referring back to claim 1, however claim 1 fails to recite any such "reducing agent[s]" and only mentions "and then reducing the 5-iodo-4-nitoimidazole compound" in line 10. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim since the language of claim 1 merely describes the process but does not specifically employ the use of reducing agents. The Examiner recommends inserting after the language, "...wherein X2 is the same as defined above" in line 13 of claim 1, the following phrase, "in appropriate solvent in the presence of a reducing agent," as discussed in page 7 of the Specification, to clarify any confusion in the dependent claims.

### Claim Objections

5. Claims 3 and 9 are objected to as being dependent on rejected base claims.

#### Conclusion

6. Claims 1-9 are currently pending in the instant application. Claims 2, 4, 7 and 8 currently stand rejected. Claims 3 and 9 are objected to, and claims 1, 5 and 6 appear allowable over the prior art.

## Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JANET L. COPPINS whose telephone number is (571)272-0680. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane can be reached on 571.272.0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,864 Page 4

Art Unit: 1626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/REI-TSANG SHIAO / Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626

Janet L. Coppins Art Unit 1626